



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,138	08/24/2001	Robert H. Wilson	LEAR 0781 PUSP	2980
7:	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Matthew R. Mowers			FLETCHER, MARLON T	
Brooks & Kushman P.C.				
22nd Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1000 Town Cer	nter	2837		
Southfield, MI 48075-1351			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/939,138	WILSON, ROBERT H.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marlon T Fletcher	2837			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ` rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 August 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims		, ,			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,6-9,11-15,18 and 20-26 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 1,3,6-9,11-14 and 23 is/are allower Claim(s) 15,18,20-22 and 24-26 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. ed. cted.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
-	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	= : :	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/939,138

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15, 18, 20-22, and 24-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa (6,051,945) in view of McHugh et al. (5,509,504).

Furukawa discloses a window position detection and anti-pinch system for a vehicle door having a window, the system comprising: at least one sensing device (54a and 54b) disposed adjacent the window for detecting the position of the window relative to the frame, the sensor producing an output signal representative of the window position; and a controller responsive to the output signal for comparing the output signal against predetermined values to determine whether an obstruction exists as disclosed in the abstract. Furukawa discloses a first sensing device for sensing position and second sensing device for sensing obstruction as disclosed in the abstract. Energy is blocked when obstruction is detected and the motor is reversed as seen in figure 8. The encoder of Furukawa is rotatable and further monitors pulses as discussed in column 4, line 64 through column 5, line 25. The encoder further has a multi-pole magnet.

Furukawa does not disclose a coding arrangement.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

However, McHugh et al. discloses a coding arrangement for detecting a position of a door (moveable member) based on a coding strip which is read by a photo-detector as discussed in column 2, line 61 through column3, line 2. McHugh et al. further provide a moveable or rotatable incremental linear encoder as discussed in the abstract (figure 1).

Official Notice is taken with respect to photo-interrupters being well known in the art for detecting window position and outputting a window obstruction or position signal; and for adding additional sensors for detecting the same.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilized the teachings of McHugh et al. with the apparatus of Furukawa, because the use of coding arrangement provides a more accurate position detecting system, wherein the coding provides exact position, wherein the use of the system with a door or a window, would provide the same, wherein the applicant's present invention teaches the use of detecting a window or door, wherein anti-pinch is controlled based on position of the vehicle opening device. The positioning aspect is enhanced by the use of the coding mechanism.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1, 3, 6-9,11-14, and 23, are allowed.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 08/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

While numerous claims have been allowed, there still remain claims that do not read over the prior art. The addition of a sensor for providing the same as a first sensor does not provide patentable weight, because it is obvious that additional sensors only provide the same, wherein there are merely more elements for providing the same.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W, F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marlon T Flerchei Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

MTF 11/15/04